## BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

## M.A. No. 967/22 (Delay) In 16) Appeal No. AT00600000133974/22

M/s Shanti Enterprises & Ors.

... Applicants

V/s.

Sanjay Darooka

...Non-applicant

Adv. Mr. Ameya Khot for Applicants Adv. Mr. Aman Shukla for Non-applicant

## CORAM: SHRI SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP, MEMBER (J), & DR. K. SHIVAJI, MEMBER (A)

## DATE : 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)

Learned Advocate Mr. Aman Shukla for Non-applicant submits that the Applicants have not paid cost of Rs.15,000/- to Nonapplicant.

2] Advocate Mr. Ameya Khot for Applicants submits that he has no instructions from Applicants and will seek instructions from Applicants.

3] On perusal of record reveals that Advocate Ameya Khot had sought adjournment for argument on delay condonation Application only on the ground that the Applicants are not in city. It further transpires that progress of the matter is at stand still because of Applicants only. It is seen that the Applicants are not interested in prosecuting the matter. Apart from this, the Applicants have not complied with the order dated 7.2.2024 i.e. Applicants have not paid



cost of Rs.15,000/- to Non-applicant. Therefore, delay condonation Application bearing No. 967/22 stands rejected for want of prosecution as well as for want of compliance.

(DR. K. SHIVAJI)

(SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP)

SPK