

**BEFORE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

(4) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053713/22 (Main)

Supriya Surendra Borhade ... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia ... Respondent

(5) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053714/22

Madhav Dagadu Revgade ... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia ... Respondent

(6) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053715/22

Nana Fakirba Sahane ... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia ... Respondent

(7) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053716/22

Bhushan Jitendra Thorat ... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia ... Respondent

(8) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053717/22

Vibhav Prakash Mutke ... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia ... Respondent

(9) APPEAL NO. AT001000000053718/22

Pramoda Trinath Patro

... Appellant

-VS-

Pravinkumar Madanlal Khabia

... Respondent

Ms. Supriya Surendra Borhade, Appellant-in-person.

Ms. Gitanjali Thorat-Shinde, Authorized Architect.

Mr. Vaibhav Prakash Mutke, Appellant-in-person.

Mr. Pramoda Trinath Patro, Appellant-in-person.

Mr. Madhav D. Revgade, Appellant-in-person.

Mr. Udaykumar Gaikwad, Authorized Representative of Respondent.

CORAM : SHRI SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP, MEMBER (J), &

DR. K. SHIVAJI, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 1st DECEMBER, 2023

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)


Mr. Udaykumar Gaikwad submits that part payment of the fee claimed by the appointed Architect Ms. Gitanjali Thorat-Shinde has been effected by RTGS and seeks one weeks' time to file relevant payment on record.

2. Mr. Udaykumar Gaikwad seeks one month's time from today to complete/fulfill the deficiencies pointed out in all respect under the supervision of the appointed Architect, Ms. Gitanjali Thorat-Shinde.

3. In view of the above, one last opportunity is granted to Respondent to complete these and file the compliance report within 30 days from today under the intimation to all the Appellants and together with the remarks of authorized architect.



4. AR Gitanjali Thorat-Shinde submits that only a part payment of Rs. 12,000/- out of the total fee amount of Rs. 71,250 has been paid by Respondent and the remaining amount is yet to be paid.
5. In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is no other option but to initiate coercive action for recovery of the entire remaining fee outstanding to architect.
6. Accordingly, Ld. Registrar to issue the recovery warrant against the Respondent for recovery of Rs. 59,250/- by taking appropriate steps and following the standard procedure.
7. Stand over to 13th Feb. 2024 for final hearing and further consideration.


(DR. K. SHIVAJI)


(SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP)

MS/-