

**BEFORE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A. No. 72/23 (Perjury)
IN
APPEAL NO. AT006000000053721/22

Zamir Kazi

... Appellant

-VS-

M/s. Balaji Symphony through its Proprietor
Mr. Vinay Agrawal

... Respondent

Mr. Sainath Pawar, Advocate for Appellant.
Ms. Ritika Agarwal, Advocate for Respondent.

**CORAM : SHRI SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP, MEMBER (J), &
DR. K. SHIVAJI, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 29th NOVEMBER, 2023

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)

Heard learned counsel for Appellant, Adv. Sainath Pawar further argument.

2. Adv. Sainath Pawar seeks further 15 minutes' time to complete his argument.

3. Respondent to serve the copy of the relevant documents which have been filed.

4. Respondent also to file and serve the copy of the compliance report of the conditions of the part occupancy certificate/full occupancy certificate issued by CIDCO/NAINA.

5. Adv. Sainath Pawar further seeks time to file and serve compilation of judgment.



6. Liberty is granted as prayed for.
7. Liberty to Respondent to file compilation of judgment/additional written submissions.
8. Stand over to 12th Jan. 2024 for further argument of Appellant. (PH)


(DR. K. SHIVAJI)


(SHRIRAM. R. JAGTAP)

MS/-