

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE
APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO. 88/2020 (Interim Relief)
IN
APPEAL NO. U-1 OF 2020**

Mustafa Ali Mohd. Punjabi

... Appellant

Vs

Lakeside Neighbourhood Education &
Recreation Society & 3 Ors.

... Respondents

None for Appellant.

Adv. Sutapa Saha for Respondents.

**CORAM : SHRIRAM R. JAGTAP, MEMBER (J) &
DR. K. SHIVAJI, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 29th September, 2023.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

A Perusal of record would show that the appellant got adjournments from time to time on the ground of settlement.

2. Adv. Sutapa Saha has rarely submitted that the appellants have never approached the Respondents for settlement and every time.

3. The Appellant has made a false statement before this Tribunal that the parties are exploring the possibility of settlement.

4. Adv. Sutapa Saha requests to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

5. Record shows that despite ample opportunity the appellant has

S Jagtap

failed to proceed with the matter. Today also nobody is present on behalf of the appellant.

6. It seems that appellant has no interest in prosecuting the present appeal. Therefore, appeal stands dismissed in default as well as for want of prosecution.



(DR. K. SHIVAJI)

vk



(SHRIRAM R. JAGTAP)